

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,577	02/27/2004	Ga-Lane Chen		9131
25859 WEI TE CHUI	7590 05/04/2007			INER
FOXCONN INTERNATIONAL, INC. 1650 MEMOREX DRIVE SANTA CLARA, CA 95050			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA CEAN			2871	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			- 05/04/2007	PAPER .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

.*					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/788,577	CHEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dung Nguyen	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status .	•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 F</u>	ebruary 2007				
	action is non-final.				
· <u> </u>	· _				
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,13,14 and 16-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1, 3-6, 13-14 and 16-20 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers		·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar ·				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	= ' '				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•				
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
·					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal I				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/05/2007 has been entered.
- 2. Applicants' amendment dated 02/05/2007 has been received and entered. By the amendment, claims 1, 3-6, 13-14 and 16-20 are now pending in the application.
- 3. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejections as follow.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the brightness enhancing film disposing between the V-shaped grooves light guide plate and the liquid crystal panel must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure

Application/Control Number: 10/788,577

Art Unit: 2871

must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 3, 6, 13-14, 17-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakamaru et al., JP 2001-281654.

The above claims are anticipated by Nakamaru et al. figures 1 and 8 which disclose a liquid crystal display element comprising:

- . a backlight module (1)
- a light guide plate (101) with a plurality of V-shaped grooves (see figure 5b) for promoting random diffraction of light
 - · . a reflector (106)

Application/Control Number: 10/788,577

Art Unit: 2871

. a quarter wave plate (109)

. a reflective polarizing plate (108)

. a liquid crystal display panel (107)

In response to Applicant's argument that Nakamaru et al. fails to disclose a light guide plate for promoting random diffraction of light, according to Nakamaru et al. figure 3, it should be noted that the term of "diffraction of light" denotes for a modification of light promoting random diffraction of light, in which light appear to be deflected (e.g., turn a side) rather than a polarization property of light (as illustrated in Nakamaru et al. figure 3). In other words, light emitting from Nakamaru et al. light guide plate would be randomly diffracting as well.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 4-5, 16, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamaru et al., JP 2001-281654, in view of Applicant's admitted prior art (APA), figure 5.

Regarding the above claims, Nakamaru et al. disclose the claimed invention as described above except for the a diffuser and a brightness enhancing film. It would have been obvious to one skilled the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the Nakamaru et al. display including a diffuser and a brightness enhancing film, since it is a common practice in the art as

Application/Control Number: 10/788,577

Art Unit: 2871

evidence from the APA's figure 5 (diffuser 163 and brightness enhancing film 164) in order to improve light to a display device from backlight.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DN 04/30/2007

Dung Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871